

HERBICIDE RESISTANCE ACTION COMMITTEE

2014 WSSA – Vancouver, British Columbia

HPPD-inhibitor resistance stewardship: The perspective of the HRAC HPPDinhibitor Working Group

Gordon D. Vail¹, Roland S. Beffa², William L. Patzoldt³, Peter J. Porpiglia⁴ and Walter E. Thomas⁵

¹Syngenta - Greensboro, NC; ²Bayer CropScience – Frankfurt, DE; ³DuPont Crop Protection – Wilmington, DE; ⁴AMVAC Chemical Corporation – Newport Beach, CA; ⁵BASF Corporation – Research Triangle Park, NC

HPPD-inhibitor Working Group

HRAC Purpose: To facilitate the effective management of herbicide resistance by fostering understanding, cooperation and communication between industry, government and farmers.

Result: HPPD-inhibitor Working Group (WG) was initiated by HRAC to specifically address HPPD-inhibitor resistance matters.

Objectives: Prolong useful life of HPPD-inhibitor herbicides

- Understand the current resistance situation
- Provide additional communication and education tools
- Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders including label stewardship alignment
- Provide guidance on potential research objectives
 - HPPD-inhibitor resistance understanding
 - HPPD-inhibitor stewardship recommendations (eg. weed size)

HPPD-inhibitor Working Group

HPPD-inhibitor WG was formed in 2012 and held face-to-face and multiple teleconference meetings over the past two years.

While the scope of the HPPD-inhibitor WG is global, the WG agreed to focus on North America.

Company	Participants
AMVAC	Peter Porpiglia, Rich Porter
BASF	Greg Armel, Andreas Landes, Walter Thomas
Bayer	Roland Beffa, Arlene Cotie, Tom Kleven, Harry Strek
DuPont	William Patzoldt
Syngenta	Deepak Kaundun, Les Glasglow, Brett Miller, Gordon Vail

HPPD-inhibitors: an important weed control tool

Very effective class of chemistry for control of important species including *Ambrosia*, *Amaranthus*, *Chenopodium*, *Kochia*, grasses and other weeds.

Herbicides that can be applied PRE or POST

• Greater utility and flexibility for growers

Excellent compatibility with other herbicides

• Allows growers to deploy effective weed management programs with multiple, effective modes of action

Excellent atrazine synergists for enhanced performance Multiple registered active ingredients with this mode of action

• Isoxaflutole, mesotrione, pyrasulfotole, tembotrione and topramezone

Background herbicide resistance to selected modes of action

Mode of action	HerbicideNumber of resistantgroupspecies globally		Number of resistant species in North America	
ALS-inhibitors	2	135	54	
Glutamine synthase inhibitors	10	2	1	
Glycines	9	25	14	
HPPD-inhibitors	27	2	2	
Photosystem II inhibitors	5	71	12	
Synthetic auxins	4	31	12	

Source: Ian Heap, weedscience.org

Confirmed cases of HPPD-inhibitor resistance in North America

Confirmation of resistant population reported via one of the following:

- International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds
- Published in a peer reviewed journal

Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*)

• Kansas (2009) and Nebraska (2011)

Waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus* syn. *rudis*)

• Illinois (2009), Iowa (2009, 2011) and Nebraska (2011)

Other populations under evaluation by industry and universities

Current knowledge of *Amaranthus* HPPD-inhibitor resistance mechanism

- Mechanism of resistance studies are ongoing
 - Not known for all confirmed resistant populations
- All confirmed resistant populations are also resistant to other herbicide modes of action
- Amaranthus spp. Can be multiple/cross resistant to herbicides with diverse modes of action (Heap, 2013)
- Non-target site mechanisms (Reichers, et al, 2013)
 - Target site resistance has not been found in resistant populations tested
- Enhanced metabolism contributes to resistance (Reichers, et al, 2013)

HPPD-inhibitor WG objectives

- Understand the current resistance situation
- Provide additional communication and education tools
- Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders
 - Including label stewardship alignment
- Provide guidance on potential research objectives
 - HPPD-inhibitor resistance understanding
 - HPPD-inhibitor stewardship recommendations

Understanding the current resistance situation

The HPPD-inhibitor Working Group agreed to:

- Meet regularly (2 to 3 times per year)
- Review together and track the confirmed complaint cases

Provide additional communication and education tools

- Post this HPPD-inhibitor WG presentation on HRAC website at hracglobal.com
- 2. Distribute HPPD-inhibitor WG fact sheet
- 3. Recommend incorporating resistance management recommendations into HPPD-inhibitor containing product labels

HPPD-inhibitor Resistance Stewardship The Perspective of the HRAC HPPD-inhibitor Working Group Created January 31, 2014

Working Group Objectives

Since 2005, waterhemp (Amaranhus Iubercutahis) and Paimer amaranh (Amaranhus paimer) have been identified with resistance to hydroxyphenytpynuxle idoxygenase (HPPD)-inhibitor chemistries used in several agronomic production cystelsmis N noth America. HPPDinhibitors can be found in multiple products (Table 1) and have become valuate tools for managing weeds resistant to other herbidikes. The objectives of the HPPD-inhibitor Working Group are to develop iskwardship recommendations and implement key admonston is support the use of HPPD-inhibitor with the interior of protonging their efficacy in providing weed control kolutions for sagnultural produces. The objectives will be accomplished by understanding the current resistance situation and providing communication and education bods, consistent selvariabitip recommendations to stakeholders, and guidance on polential research objectives.

Working Group Stewardship Recommendations to Stakeholders

- In order to avoid the development of resistance, require HPPOinhibitors applied preemergence (PRE) and postemergence (POST) to always be used in combination with other products, either in tank mixtures or pre-mixtures.
- Make applications to small, actively growing weeds.
 In order to reduce the development of resistance, always use full labeled rate for all applications PRE or POST.

Table 1. Current herbicide products* containing HPPD-inhibitors

4.	Follow explicitly the recommendations for application volume(s),
	nozzie(s), and other application parameters.

HERBICIDE

OMMITTEE

Working Group Recommendations for Label Alignment

- include mode-of-action labeling on all HPPD-inhibitor containing products.
- Strengthen and align resistance management language on HPPD -Inhibitor labels.
- Adopt recommendations made by the HPPD-inhibitor Working Group and incorporate into products labels during revision.
- Optimize product rate and weed size recommendations on POST applied HPPD-Inhibitor labels
- Emphasize tank mixtures or pre-mixtures with a minimum of two effective modes of action on product labels for driver weeds.

HRAC HPPD-inhibitor Working Group Members

AMVAC: Peter Porpigila and Richard Porter BASF: Waiter Thomas, Andreas Landes and Gregory Armel Bayer CropScience: Roland Beffa, Harry Strek, Tom Kleven and Ariene Code DuPont: William Patzoldt Syngenta: Corton Vali, Deepak Kaundun, Brett Miller and Les Glaegow

Product Name	Active Ingredients (HPPD-Inhibitor in bold)	Market Segment Use	Manufacturer'
Balance [®] Flexx	Isoxaflutole	Com	Bayer CropScience
Prequel®	Isoxaflutole plus Rimsulfuon	Com	DuPont
Corvus®	Isoxaflutole plus Thiencarbazone-methyl	Com	Bayer CropScience
Callisto®	Mesotrione	Com	Syngenta
Callisto® Xtra	Mesotrione plus Atrazine	Com	Syngenta
Callisto®Ultra	Mesotrione plus Glyphosate	Com	Syngenta
Instigate™	Mesotrione plus Rimsulfuron	Com	DuPont
Realm [®] Q	Mesotrione plus Rimsulfuron	Com	DuPont
Zemax®	Mesotrione plus s-Metolachior	Corn and Grain Sorghum	Syngenta
Lumax [®] EZ	Mesotrione plus s-Metolachior plus Atrazine	Corn and Grain Sorghum	Syngenta
Lexar [®] EZ	Mesotrione plus s-Metolachior plus Atrazine	Corn and Grain Sorghum	Syngenta
Halex [®] GT	Mesotrione plus s-Metolachior plus Glyphosate	Com	Syngenta
Huskle®	Pyrasulfotole plus Bromoxynii	Cereals and Grain Sorghum	Bayer CropScience
Wolverine®	Pyrasulfotole plus Bromoxynii plus Fenoxyprop-p	Wheat and Barley	Bayer CropScience
Huskle [®] Complete	Pyrasulfotole plus Bromoxynii plus Thiencarbazone-methyl	Wheat	Bayer CropScience
Laudis®	Tembotrione	Com	Bayer CropScience
Capreno®	Tembotrione plus Thiencarbazone-methyl	Com	Bayer CropScience
Impact®	Topramezone	Com	AMVAC
Armezon® Herbicide	Topramezone	Com	BASF

*Product names registered in the United States

AUMAYS READ AND FOLLOW PESTICIDE LABEL DRECTIONE. Basince[®] Pexx. Corus[®], Isakie[®], Wohrm[®], Landr[®] and Captero[®] are registered tabernato of Bayer. Calato[®], Zena[®], Unive[®], Land[®] and Land[®] are registered tabernators of a Signetizer University of PACF. Inpact[®] are significant tabernari of AMA/C Cherrical Corporation. Prepare[®], Instigate[®] and Ream[®] are tabernators or patiented tabernator of E.J. DuPost de Nerouxs and Company, Basince[®] Prexx. Corus[®], Isakia[®] Compile, Calato[®] Xiao, Luma[®] E.L. Land[®] E.G. and Prepare are Related to De Pesicidae.

HPPD-inhibitor fact sheet

Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders

The Working Group is developing and recommending a common language for HPPD-inhibitor stewardship which can be used in:

- Education programs (step 1)
- Labels (step 2)

Include mode of action labeling on all HPPD-inhibitor containing products

In order to reduce the development of resistance, always use the full labeled rate for all applications PRE and POST

Follow explicitly the recommendations for application volume(s), recommended nozzle(s) and other application parameters

Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders

In order to avoid the development of resistance, require PRE and POST HPPD-inhibitors to always be used in tank mix or premix

- When appropriate a residual herbicide should be used
- Use at least two compounds with efficacy against the target species Applications should be made to small, actively growing weeds
- Recommend targeting weeds less than four inches in height

A recommendation to limit the number of HPPD-inhibitor applications is under consideration as additional research is completed

Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders – label alignment

- Strengthen and align recommended resistance management language on all HPPD-inhibitor containing product labels
- Incorporate recommendations made by HPPD-inhibitor WG into product labels during label revisions
- Optimize product rate and weed size recommendations for postemergence HPPD-inhibitor labels to be consistent with resistance management stewardship
- Recommend the use of tank mixtures or premixtures with a minimum of two effective modes of action against driver weeds

Provide guidance on potential research objectives

Continued investigation into resistance mechanisms What is an effective tank mix partner(s)?

- Which herbicides work best as tank mix partners that would be least prone to metabolic degradation?
- Should tank mix partners have similar length of residual and soil behavior?
- Should sequential applications contain herbicides with multiple, different modes of action?

Is there an impact from limiting the number of applications?

- Within a season or between seasons
- How will this impact resistance evolution?

Does weed growth stage at application influence resistance evolution?

Encourage research collaboration

Conclusions and perspectives

- HPPD-inhibitor WG will continue with a goal of prolonging the useful life of HPPD-inhibitor herbicides
- HPPD-inhibitor WG needs the support of industry and university research and extension
- HPPD-inhibitor WG focus is on HPPD-inhibitor resistance but this is a larger issue encompassing all modes of action
- Key WG stewardship activities:
 - Understand the current resistance situation
 - Provide additional communication and education tools
 - Provide consistent stewardship recommendations to stakeholders
 - Provide guidance on potential research objectives

Thank you for your attention



HERBICIDE RESISTANCE ACTION COMMITTEE